Reading a Portfolio

A leadership team that looks aligned in the board deck and is not executing is the risk you find out about late. Read the work per company, weekly, and you find out early.

POINT OF VIEW **3 PAGES** · 6 MINUTE READ FOR **GPS, OPERATING PARTNERS, HEADS OF HUMAN CAPITAL** AUGUST 2026
THOMAS IGEME

THE RETURN ON WORK THESIS

HUB
Return on Work

What Work Costs Now
what it costs

Chief Work Officer
who owns it

The Weekly Loop
how you run it

Five Ways Work Drifts
what you see

Reading a Portfolio
across a fund

Six papers, one argument. The hub is Return on Work. Full library at tlpartners.biz/library.

THE ARGUMENT IN ONE PARAGRAPH

Every company in a portfolio is executing against its own thesis, which means the only honest read of whether the work is following the plan is a read per company. Roll those into one portfolio score and you have measured nothing, because you have averaged answers to different questions. Read them separately, weekly, and drift becomes the earliest warning system you have on every thesis you own, months before the numbers confirm what you already suspected.

01

OBSERVED
The feeling that arrives before the miss.

The risk you find out about late

The pattern is familiar to anyone who has sat on a portfolio board. The deck is clean, the management team is confident, the metrics are green enough, and you can feel that the company is going to miss. You are usually right, and you are usually right about two quarters before anything in the reporting agrees with you.

The gap between the deck and the week

What you are sensing is a gap between what the team says in the board meeting and what is actually happening in the work. That gap is not dishonesty. It is the ordinary result of priorities getting renegotiated inside the week, by people who are doing their best, in a company where nobody is comparing the two. The board sees the intent. Nobody sees the work. By the time the two reconcile, they reconcile in the results.

The full argument for why that comparison is now measurable is the hub of this thesis, Return on Work. This paper is about what changes when you own twelve of them.

02

COUNTER
Against the instinct to build one number.

A blended score measures nothing

The first instinct of every fund that hears this is to ask for a portfolio dashboard: one alignment number per company, ranked, with a portfolio average at the top. That instinct is wrong, and it is worth being precise about why.

Each company is aligning to a different thesis. One is integrating an acquisition. One is defending a category against a better-funded entrant. One is cutting to a profitability date.

Alignment to a plan is only meaningful against that company's own plan, so a number that averages the three answers a question nobody asked. Rank them and you will reward the company with the least ambitious thesis, because a modest plan is the easiest one to stay aligned to.

> **WHAT TRAVELS ACROSS COMPANIES, AND WHAT DOES NOT**
>
> The *reading* does not travel. The *rate* does. How fast a company reconciles its work after its own strategy moves is comparable across companies, because it measures the management team's responsiveness and not the difficulty of the plan. Realignment half-life is a portfolio-level number. Drift score is not.

03

MECHANISM

One page per company, once a week.

What the per-company read looks like

The unit is one page per company per week, and it carries four things: the gap between what the team planned and what it did, the single priority drifting fastest, the priorities with no real work reported against them at all, and the trend across weeks. The method is The Weekly Loop and the shapes drift takes are in The Five Ways Work Drifts.

For an operating partner the value is not the page. It is that the page arrives in week two of a problem. A management team that has quietly stopped working on the second value-creation workstream will tell you at the quarterly, once the story has been arranged. The work tells you in a fortnight, before anyone has decided how to describe it.

WHAT A PORTFOLIO READ IS WORTH, DIRECTIONALLY

Drift cost per quarter, at 30 to 40 percent of effort on work nobody chose

Directional, at the floor of the range, from headcount, loaded cost per head at $100,000, and observed drift frequency. Redo it with your own loaded cost. One mid-size portfolio company carries more quarterly drift cost than most funds spend on portfolio operations in a year.

04

METHOD

The read starts before the wire clears.

The same read, in diligence

The comparison works pre-close, and it is cheaper there than anywhere else. Where a target's effort pools is a fact about the company that no management presentation controls, and reading it against what management says the plan is takes an afternoon.

That read predicts the first year better than the org chart does. A team whose stated priorities and actual work already diverge before you own it will not converge because the equity changed hands. Post-close, the same instrument becomes the 100-day plan's scoreboard, and the first realignment half-life you measure is the baseline you manage against for the hold.

05

EVIDENCE

Built inside a fund rather than observed.

Where this came from

I built the portfolio talent function at a software-focused PE firm from a standing start, and this paper is the part of that work that generalized.

STRONG SIGNAL

Instrumented across the portfolio

An executive scoring system tracked as talent density across eight portfolio companies in the US, Israel and Latin America. Every read was per company. None of them was blended.

STRONG SIGNAL

Read before the wire cleared

Leadership diligence on four acquisitions pre-close, with a 100-day talent plan adopted post-close at each.

STRONG SIGNAL

It moved the value-creation number

Go-to-market changes made with portfolio-company CEOs lifted revenue per rep 15 to 22 percent.

EMERGING PATTERN

Capacity decisions changed shape

Workforce planning run as a value-creation lever: planned headcount growth cut at two companies, and engineering hubs stood up in Latin America at four.

The recent version is shorter and less grand. A PE-backed services company at mid-hold ran the two-week read and found two value-creation workstreams a quarter behind plan. Both were back on track inside a month, because the delay surfaced while fixing it was still a scheduling conversation rather than a board conversation.

What is new since is the frequency. That work ran on a quarterly cadence because a person had to produce the read. The comparison now runs weekly, which is the difference between a photograph and a rate.

> **BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, NAMED OUT LOUD**
>
> The general conditions are in Return on Work. The fund-specific one is this: the read assumes you want a weekly signal you may have to act on. A read nobody is willing to act on inside a fortnight is a report, and a quarterly report is cheaper.

The two-week Strategy Alignment Audit is the per-company version of this read, and the fastest way to see whether it tells you anything you did not know.

Fixed fee, about 20 minutes from each of one or two senior leaders, scoped to your board or diligence window if it is tighter. We also built **Alyn**, which runs the same comparison weekly in Slack once you want ongoing coverage. The argument this paper follows from is **Return on Work**. The fund-side companion on how WHO and HOW get instrumented across a fund is **The Portfolio Talent Operating System**. Full library at **tlpartners.biz/library**.

thomas@tlpartners.biz · **tlpartners.biz**